EXHIBIT 1

Bill Rives February 12, 2016

```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2.
                            HOUSTON DIVISION
 3
     ALBERT PATINO, et al.,
                                 S
                                 §
         Plaintiffs,
 4
                                 §
                                 S
                                    Civil Action No. 4:14-cv-03241
                                 S
     v.
                                 §
 6
     CITY OF PASADENA, et al.,
                                 §
                                 §
 7
         Defendants.
                                 S
 8
 9
10
                           ORAL DEPOSITION OF
11
                               BILL RIVES
12
                            February 12, 2016
13
14
15
16
         ORAL DEPOSITION OF BILL RIVES, produced as a witness at
    the instance of the Plaintiffs and duly sworn, was taken in the
17
18
    above-styled and numbered cause on February 12, 2016, from
    9:11 a.m. to 12:17 p.m., before Amanda Plano, CSR in and for
19
20
    the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at Mexican
21
    American Legal Defense and Education Fund, 110 Broadway, Suite
22
    300, San Antonio, Texas, pursuant to the Federals Rules of
23
    Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or
24
    attached hereto.
25
```

1 | is so close at 2014 and here we are two years later.

- Q. Would you agree that the most recent five-year ACS estimate for Hispanic CVAP in the City of Pasadena is also below 50 percent like the 2014 one-year estimate?
 - A. It is, but it's older.

- Q. Understood. Okay. Now, we've gone over your conclusions at the bottom of page 9 and the top of page 10.

 Can you show me anywhere else in your report where you offer a conclusion or an opinion?
- A. The conclusions on the bottom of page 9 and page 10 are my -- my general -- excuse me -- my general conclusions. I have more detailed or specific conclusions along the way with respect to the use of school enrollment data, for example, over the last 20-some-odd years to -- to track the vote of Hispanic enrollment in particular in the city.

And I've also taken advantage of the -- of the three most recent Censuses, '90, 2000 and 2010 to get some idea of -- of the growth of Hispanic citizenship over that 20-year period, even though we stopped at 2010, but those numbers for the most part are field count, at least the base populations are.

And I also taken advantage of the block level Hispanic VAP and Hispanic citizen VAP data that we have for '90, 2000 and 2010, because I wanted to show that the growth in the city is not concentrated. The growth in the city is really

1 citywide. And -- and you can see in some of the exhibits I 2 have, the spread of Census blocks where Hispanic are a majority

3 of the share of eligible voters, as well as the majority of the

4 voting age population.

5

6

8

9

10

11

15

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. Would it be fair to say then that your opinions are expressed in the two bullet points on the bottom of page 9 and the bullet point at the top of page 10, and that the remainder of the discussion in your report is a discussion of data and analysis that supports the three conclusions that you offer at the end of the report?
 - A. That's a good summary.
- Q. Thank you. Is it correct to say that you did not perform an analysis of the city's previous eight single-member district election plan?
 - A. I did not. That's correct.
- Q. And so you don't offer an opinion on how many of those previous eight single-member districts were majority HCVAP or SSVR, correct?
- 19 A. No, I don't -- I don't have an opinion. I wasn't 20 asked to look at that.
 - Q. Okay. And you don't have an opinion regarding how many of the districts in the eight single-member district plan offered Hispanic voters the opportunity to elect their candidate of choice, correct?
 - A. I wasn't asked to look at that either.

Q. Would it also be fair to say that you can't offer an opinion about whether any of the eight single-member districts became more or less Hispanic in the change to the 6-2 mixed system?

- A. That's correct. I haven't -- I haven't looked at that.
- 7 Q. And then finally -- no, no more finally. Strike 8 that.
 - Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you to look at some parts of your report. And specifically, I'd like you to turn to Exhibit 1, which is an absolutely beautiful map. Can you tell me what we see in Exhibit 1, please.
 - A. This was a map that I put in for orientation purposes in the city, and it was available for the current city council districts. And so they're -- they're numbered on there. But I really was more interested in having the orientation for the city. And there's a -- a table that follows as Exhibit 2 which provides some summary information on the -- on the districts.
 - Q. Is it fair to say that Exhibit 1 is a map showing the six single-members districts in the City of Pasadena redistricting plan with a shading for each district?
 - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Q. Okay. Now, I'd like you to turn with me to

Exhibits 4-A and 4-B. Tell me what the shading represents in

this -- in these maps that we're looking at in Exhibit 4-A and

Bill Rives

February 12, 2016 Page 99

	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE	El
WITNESS NAM	ME: BILL RIVES	February 12, 2016
PAGE/LINE	CHANGE	REASON
11 / 14	"Vote" should be "growth"	Grammar correction
20/3	Both uses of "it" should be "I"	Grammar correction
21/2	First "that" should be "than"	Grammar correction
29 / 4	"stead" should be "steady"	Spelling correction
30 / 3	"Underline" should be "underlying"	Grammar correction
31 / 23	"moment in the end" should be "mom	entum" Grammar correction
46 / 3	"fix plan" should be "fixed plant"	Grammar correction
53 / 16	"with" should be "within"	Spelling correction
64/3	"2013" should be "2015"	Date correction
65 / 10	Insert "it at" between "developed" and	d "the" Grammar correction
- 67 / 5	"coated" should be "coded"	Grammar correction
76 / 20	"2010" should be "2015"	Date correction
91 / 21	"Server" should be "Sample"	Grammar correction
96 / 21	"channel" should be "challenge"	Grammar correction
Aug		
44-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-		
, Marie 1997		

Bill Rives

February 12, 2016 Page 100

1	I, BILL RIVES, have read the foregoing deposition and		
2	hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except		
3	as noted above.		
4			
5			
6	ARALMITINGS		
7	BILL RIVES Norfleet W. (Bill) Rives		
8			
9	THE STATE OF TEXAS)		
10	COUNTY OF)		
11			
12	Before me,, on this day personally		
13	appeared BILL RIVES, known to me [or proved to me on the oath		
14	of or through (description of		
15	identity card or other document)] to be the person whose name		
16	is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to		
17	me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration		
18	therein expressed.		
19	(Seal) Given under my hand and seal of office this		
20	day of, 2016.		
21	STATE OF OHIO COUNTY OF FRANKUN * Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Explans 03-30-2020		
22	Sworn to (or aritimed) and subscribed before me		
23 -	This 29" day of March, 2016 by Bill Rives Dianul (cese DIANA M. MAGGE Notary Public in and for		
24	Notary Public's Signature) Notary Name the State of Texas Personally Known OR		
25	Type of Identification Produced License		

```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                            HOUSTON DIVISION
 3
     ALBERT PATINO, et al.,
                                 S
                                 §
         Plaintiffs,
                                 §
 4
                                 S
                                    Civil Action No. 4:14-cv-03241
                                 §
 5
     v.
                                 §
     CITY OF PASADENA, et al.,
                                 §
 6
 7
         Defendants.
                                 §
 8
                        REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
 9
                        DEPOSITION OF BILL RIVES
10
                            February 12, 2016
11
12
         I, AMANDA PLANO, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for
13
    the State of Texas, hereby certify to the following:
14
         That the witness, BILL RIVES, was duly sworn by the
    officer and that the transcript of the oral deposition is a
15
16
    true record of the testimony given by the witness;
         I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule 30(f)(1),
17
18
    that the signature of the Deponent was requested by the
19
    Deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition and
20
    is to be returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the
21
    transcript.
22
         If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page
23
    contains any changes and the reason therefore;
24
         That the amount of time used by each party at the
25
    deposition is as follows:
```

1 Ms. Nina Perales - 2:47 Mr. C. Robert Heath - 0:00 2 3 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the 4 action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I 5 am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of 6 7 the action. 8 Certified to by me this 1st day of March, 2016. 9 10 11 12 AMANDA PLANO, CSR, RPR 13 Texas CSR 8713 Expiration Date: 12/31/16 Kim Tindall & Associates, LLC 14 Firm Registration No. 631 15 16414 San Pedro, Suite 900 San Antonio, Texas 78232 16 Telephone: (866) 672-7880 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25